

**EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT**  
**ROUTING SLIP**

TO:

		ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
1	DCI				
2	DDCI				
3	EXDIR				
4	D/ICS				
5	DDI				
6	DDA				
7	DDO				
8	DDS&T				
9	Chm/NIC				
10	GC				
11	IG				
12	Compt				
13	D/Pers				
14	D/OLL				
15	D/PAO				
16	SA/IA				
17	AO/DCI				
18	C/IPD/OIS				
19	C/ACIS		✓		
20					
21					
22					

SUSPENSE \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Remarks

25X1

Executive Secretary

Date

3637 (0-2)



United States Department of State

Washington, D.C. 20520

August 13, 1984

Executive Registry

84-2151/2

Att. EX 84-801

UNCLASSIFIED (with SECRET attachment)

Interagency Group No. 13

MEMORANDUM TO: OVP - Mr. Donald P. Gregg  
NSC - Mr. Robert Kimmitt  
DOE - Mr. William Vitale  
ACDA - Mr. William Staples  
CIA -   
JCS - LTC Thomas O'Connell  
Defense - COL R. J. Affourtit  
Negotiator - Mr. Louis D. Sell

25X1

SUBJECT: Nuclear Testing Interagency Group Meeting

Attached is the summary report of the Nuclear Testing IG meeting of August 2.

*Charles Hill*  
Charles Hill  
Executive Secretary

Attachment:  
As stated.

UNCLASSIFIED (with SECRET attachment)



B-223A

SECRET

MEETING OF THE INTERAGENCY GROUP  
ON NUCLEAR TESTING LIMITATIONS

August 2, 1984

PRINCIPAL PARTICIPANTS

STATE

John T. Chain, Jr.

ACDA

Thomas Etzold

CIA

JCS

Capt. Richard Rager

DOE

Julio Torres

OSD

Frank Gaffney

NSC

Sven Kraemer

25X1

The Working Group's paper on "Strategy for Handling Nuclear Test Ban Issues at the CD" was the subject of the Interagency Group meeting. The paper was remanded to the Working Group for discussion of a number of additional suggestions made by one agency. The co-chairman underscored that these suggestions should have been made earlier in the process and that the paper was already overdue to the NSC. After disposition of the additional suggestions, the revised paper will be recirculated for final clearance and expeditious transmittal to the NSC.

Further input to the paper was volunteered on the pace and cost of intelligence improvements that would be necessary to significantly better our ability to monitor a nuclear test ban, and the group agreed that the paper would profit from a summary highlighting the principal differences that remained among agencies.